arXiv:1610.02196v1 [math.RA] 7 Oct 2016

Curves and envelopes that bound the spectrum of a matrix

Göran Bergqvist
Department of Mathematics, Linköping University,
SE-581 83 Linköping, Sweden
gober@mai.liu.se

October 4, 2016

Abstract

A generalization of the method developed by Adam, Psarrakos and Tsatsomeros to find inequalities for the eigenvalues of a complex matrix A using knowledge of the largest eigenvalues of its Hermitian part $H(A)$ is presented. The numerical range or field of values of A can be constructed as the intersection of half-planes determined by the largest eigenvalue of $H(e^{i\theta}A)$. Adam, Psarrakos and Tsatsomeros showed that using the two largest eigenvalues of $H(A)$, the eigenvalues of A satisfy a cubic inequality and the envelope of such cubic curves defines a region in the complex plane smaller than the numerical range but still containing the spectrum of A. Here it is shown how using the three largest eigenvalues of $H(A)$ or more, one obtains new inequalities for the eigenvalues of A and new envelope-type regions containing the spectrum of A.

Keywords: *spectrum localization, eigenvalue inequalities, envelope, numerical range*

AMS classification codes: 15A18, 15A42, 15A60, 65F15

1 Introduction

The spectrum $\sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C};\ \exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}, A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}\}$ of an $n \times n$ (complex, in general) matrix A is known to be located in its numerical range or field of values $F(A) = \{\mathbf{x}^*A\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C};\ x \in \mathbb{C}^n, ||\mathbf{x}||_2 = 1\}$. This follows immediately from $\sigma(A) \ni \lambda = \mathbf{x}^*A\mathbf{x}$, if $\mathbf{x}$ is chosen as a unit length eigenvector. The spectrum of A is also located to the left of the vertical line $Re(z) = \delta_1$ in the complex plane, where δ_1 is the largest eigenvalue of the Hermitian part $H(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*)$ of A. Here A^* denotes the Hermitian conjugate of A. Again this follows easily from $\lambda + \bar{\lambda} = \mathbf{x}^*A\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{x}^*A\mathbf{x})^* = 2\mathbf{x}^*H(A)\mathbf{x} \leq 2\delta_1\mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{x} = 2\delta_1$ if $\mathbf{x}$ is a unit eigenvector.

Clearly $F(A) = e^{-i\theta}F(e^{i\theta}A)$ for any $\theta \in [0, 2\pi[$, so $e^{i\theta}F(A)$ is located to the left of the vertical line $Re(z) = \lambda_{max}(H(e^{i\theta}A))$, and rotating this line by $e^{-i\theta}$ we get a new line that bounds $\sigma(A)$. In fact, [2, 3], $F(A)$ is obtained exactly as the connected, compact and convex region defined by the envelope of all such lines. In the first plot of Figure 1 we have illustrated this for the Toeplitz matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & i \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$



Figure 1: The numerical range $F(A)$, the region $\mathcal{E}_1(A)$ [5], and the region $\mathcal{E}_2(A)$ obtained by using 3 eigenvalues of $H(A)$ of the Toeplitz matrix (1). The eigenvalues of A are marked by small boxes.

by plotting these lines for $\theta = 2\pi m/120, m = 0, \ldots, 119$. The eigenvalues of A are labelled by small boxes in the plot.

In [1] Adam and Tsatsomeros showed how one can use the two largest eigenvalues δ_1 and δ_2 of $H(A)$ and the eigenvector $\mathbf{u}_1$ of $H(A)$ corresponding to δ_1, to obtain an improved inequality for $\sigma(A)$. Let $S(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A - A^*)$ denote the skew-Hermitian part of A, so $A = H(A) + S(A)$, and let $\alpha = Im(\mathbf{u}_1^* S(A)\mathbf{u}_1)$ and $K = ||S(A)\mathbf{u}_1||_2^2 - \alpha^2 \geq 0$. Then they prove that any $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ satisfies

$$|\lambda - (\delta_1 + i\alpha)|^2 (Re(\lambda) - \delta_2) \leq K(\delta_1 - Re(\lambda)) \tag{2}$$

With equality in (2) we have a curve $\Gamma_1(A)$ that bounds $\sigma(A)$ and is of degree 3 in the real and imaginary parts of λ. Applied to $H(e^{i\theta}A)$ one can repeat the argument above and obtain rotated cubic curves that bound $\sigma(A)$. The envelope of such curves was studied extensively by Psarrakos and Tsatsomeros [5, 6] and they showed that it bounds a region $\mathcal{E}_1(A)$ that contains $\sigma(A)$ and is compact, but which is not always convex or connected. In the centre plot of Figure 1 we show their image of $\mathcal{E}_1(A)$ for the Toeplitz matrix (1). Again we used 120 curves, with $\theta = 2\pi m/120, m = 0, \ldots, 119$ for the plot.

The aim of this paper is to generalize the results of Adam, Psarrakos and Tsatsomeros and use more eigenvalues of $H(A)$ to obtain new curves $\Gamma_k(A)$ that bound $\sigma(A)$. As a preview of our results, we show in the last plot of Figure 1 a region $\mathcal{E}_2(A)$ obtained from the envelope of curves when three eigenvalues of $H(A)$ were utilized for the Toeplitz matrix (1). Also here 120 curves were used to construct the image.

In section 2 we derive the main inequality for the eigenvalues of a matrix, given knowledge about the largest eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors of its Hermitian part. We also state a more explicit formulation for the case of three known eigenvalues. In section 3 we present some illustrations and analyze properties of the curves that bound the spectrum, and in section 4 we analyze explicitly some cases where the curves have special properties. Then, in section 5, we demonstrate how an envelope of such curves encloses a region inside the numerical range and

containing the spectrum. We compare this region with the region obtained for the case of two known eigenvalues presented by Psarrakos and Tsatsomeros.

In the paper we use the following notation. The set of complex $n \times k$ matrices is written $M_{n,k}(\mathbb{C})$. If we refer to real matrices we write $M_{n,k}(\mathbb{R})$. If $k = n$ we write $M_{n,n} = M_n$. For $A \in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{C})$, $A^* \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the Hermitian conjugate. For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $H(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*)$ and $S(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A - A^*)$ are its Hermitian and skew-Hermitain parts. The adjugate $adj(A) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ of $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the matrix whose elements are minors of A, and satisfies $adj(A)A = (\det A)I$. $D = diag(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \in M_n$ means that D is a diagonal matrix with the elements $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ on its diagonal. Vectors are denoted with bold letters, and the 2-norm of a vector $\mathbf{v}$ is denoted $||\mathbf{v}||_2$. We often use s and t to denote the real and imaginary parts of eigenvalues or $s + it$ as coordinate for $\mathbb{C}$. The spectrum $\sigma(A)$ och numerical range $F(A)$ of A were defined above.

2 Inequalities for the eigenvalues

Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let $H(A)$ and $S(A)$ be its Hermitian and skew-Hermitian parts. Let $\delta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \delta_n$ be the eigenvalues of $H(A)$ and $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ the corresponding normalized orthogonal eigenvectors. If $\mathbf{u}_j$ are the columns of $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\Delta = diag(\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n) \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$H(A) = U\Delta U^* \Leftrightarrow U^*H(A)U = \Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_k & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\Delta}_k \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where $\Delta_k = diag(\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_k) \in M_k(\mathbb{R})$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_k = diag(\delta_{k+1}, \ldots, \delta_n) \in M_{n-k}(\mathbb{R})$. Define the skew-Hermitian matrix

$$Y = U^*S(A)U = \begin{pmatrix} Y_k & -V^* \\ V & \tilde{Y}_k \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

where $Y_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $\tilde{Y}_k \in M_{n-k}(\mathbb{C})$ are skew-Hermitian, and $V \in M_{n-k,k}(\mathbb{C})$. Now, let the upper principal $k \times k$ submatrix of $U^*(A - \lambda I_n)U$ be

$$W = \Delta_k + Y_k - \lambda I_k \tag{5}$$

and $H(W) = \frac{1}{2}(W + W^*) = \Delta_k - sI_k$, where $\lambda = s + it$, its Hermitian part. We have

Lemma 1. *If $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \setminus \sigma(\Delta_k + Y_k)$ then $H(W^{-1})$ is not negative definite.*

Proof. W^{-1} exists since $\lambda \notin \sigma(\Delta_k + Y_k)$. Since $s \leq \delta_1 \; \forall \lambda \in \sigma(A)$, $H(W) = diag(\delta_1 - s, \ldots, \delta_k - s)$ has at least one non-negative eigenvalue $\delta_1 - s$ and is therefore not negative definite, which is equivalent to $H(W^{-1})$ not being negative definite [4]. □

The following is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 2. *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let λ be an eigenvalue of A. Further, let $\delta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \delta_n$ be the eigenvalues of the Hermitian part of A. Then, with V and W as defined above,*

$$|\det W|^2(Re(\lambda) - \delta_{k+1}) \leq (\sigma_1(V))^2 \lambda_{max}[H(\det W adj(W^*))] \;, \tag{6}$$

where $adj(W^*)$ is the adjugate of W^*, $\lambda_{max}[H(\det W adj(W^*))] \geq 0$ is the largest eigenvalue of the Hermitian part of $\det W adj(W^*)$, and $\sigma_1(V)$ is the largest singular value of V.

Proof. We adopt the ideas from the proof of the case $k = 1$ presented by Adam and Tsatsomeros [1]. Let $\lambda = s + it$ be an eigenvalue of A. This means that $A - \lambda I_n$ and

$$U^*(A - \lambda I_n)U = \begin{pmatrix} W & -V^* \\ V & \tilde{\Delta}_k + \tilde{Y}_k - \lambda I_{n-k} \end{pmatrix},$$

are singular. If W is singular, then $\det W = 0$ and the statement of the theorem is trivial. For the case that W is non-singular, the Schur complement of W is $\tilde{W} = \tilde{\Delta}_k + \tilde{Y}_k - \lambda I_{n-k} + VW^{-1}V^*$ and

$$0 = \det(U^*(A - \lambda I_n)U) = \det W \det(\tilde{\Delta}_k + \tilde{Y}_k - \lambda I_{n-k} + VW^{-1}V^*)$$

This means that $0 \in \sigma(\tilde{W}) \subset F(\tilde{W})$ which implies $0 \in Re(F(\tilde{W}))$. Let

$$H(\tilde{W}) = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{W} + \tilde{W}^*) = \tilde{\Delta}_k - sI_{n-k} + \frac{1}{2}V(W^{-1} + (W^{-1})^*)V^* = \tilde{\Delta}_k - sI_{n-k} + VH(W^{-1})V^*$$

be the Hermitian part of $\tilde{W}$. Then $F(H(\tilde{W})) = Re(F(\tilde{W}))$ [2] so $0 \in F(H(\tilde{W}))$ and there exists a unit vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^*H(\tilde{W})\mathbf{x} = 0$. We get

$$0 = \mathbf{x}^*\tilde{\Delta}_k\mathbf{x} - s\mathbf{x}^*I_{n-k}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^*VH(W^{-1})V^*\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^*\tilde{\Delta}_k\mathbf{x} - s + (V^*\mathbf{x})^*H(W^{-1})(V^*\mathbf{x})$$

Using that $\lambda_{max}(H(W^{-1})) \geq 0$ by the lemma, the inequalities

$$\mathbf{x}^*\tilde{\Delta}_k\mathbf{x} \leq \delta_{k+1}||\mathbf{x}||_2^2 = \delta_{k+1} \ ,$$

$$(V^*\mathbf{x})^*H(W^{-1})(V^*\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda_{max}(H(W^{-1}))||V^*\mathbf{x}||_2^2 \ ,$$

and

$$||V^*\mathbf{x}||_2^2 \leq (\sigma_1(V^*))^2||\mathbf{x}||_2^2 = (\sigma_1(V))^2 \ ,$$

we get

$$0 \leq \delta_{k+1} - s + \lambda_{max}(H(W^{-1}))(\sigma_1(V))^2 \ . \tag{7}$$

Now,

$$H(W^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2}(W^{-1} + (W^{-1})^*) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\det W}adj(W) + \frac{1}{\overline{\det W}}adj(W^*)) = \frac{M}{|\det W|^2} \ ,$$

where

$$M = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\det W}adj(W) + \det W adj(W^*)) = H(\det W adj(W^*)) \ . \tag{8}$$

Hence,

$$\lambda_{max}(H(W^{-1})) = \frac{1}{|\det W|^2}\lambda_{max}(M) \ . \tag{9}$$

Multiplying (7) and by $|\det W|^2$ and using (9) the theorem follows.

□

Note that $\lambda_{max}(M)$ is a non-negative function of s and t while $\sigma_1(V)$ is a constant.

For $k = 1$, V is a vector and $W = \delta_1 - s + i(\alpha - t)$ is a scalar. Interpreting the adjugate of an 1×1 matrix as 1 (to keep $adj(A)A = (\det A)I$ that was used in the proof) we have

$\lambda_{max}(M) = M = 2(\delta_1 - s)$. With $K = (\sigma_1(V))^2 = V^*V = ||S(A)\mathbf{u}_1||_2^2 - \alpha^2$ we get the results of Adam and Tsatsomeros [1]

$$[(\delta_1 - s)^2 + (\alpha - t)^2](s - \delta_2) \leq K(\delta_1 - s) \tag{10}$$

We use the notation $\Gamma_1(A)$, or just Γ_1, for the cubic curve obtained from equality in (10). More generally:

Definition 1. *$\Gamma_k(A)$ is the curve $|\det W|^2(Re(\lambda) - \delta_{k+1}) = (\sigma_1(V))^2$ obtained from having equality in (6) of Theorem 2.*

For $k = 2$ we now state an explicit inequality which gives an expression for the curve $\Gamma_2(A)$. In this case

$$W = \Delta_2 + Y_2 - \lambda I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 - s + i(\alpha - t) & -\bar{\gamma} \\ \gamma & \delta_2 - s + i(\beta - t) \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

and V is the $(n-2) \times 2$ matrix such that the first two columns of Y are

$$M_{n,2}(\mathbb{C}) \ni \begin{pmatrix} Y_2 \\ V \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & -\bar{\gamma} \\ \gamma & i\beta \\ \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 \end{pmatrix} = U^* S(A) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

Theorem 3. *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\lambda = s + it$ be an eigenvalue of A. Further, let $\delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \delta_3$ be the three largest eigenvalues of the Hermitian part of A and let $\mathbf{u}_1$ and $\mathbf{u}_2$ be the corresponding normalized eigenvectors of δ_1 and δ_2. Then, with α, β, and γ as defined above,*

$$\begin{aligned} &[((\delta_1 - s)(\delta_2 - s) - (\alpha - t)(\beta - t) + |\gamma|^2)^2 + ((\delta_1 - s)(\beta - t) + (\delta_2 - s)(\alpha - t))^2](s - \delta_3) \\ &\leq \frac{K}{2}[m_1(s,t) + m_3(s,t) + \sqrt{[m_1(s,t) - m_3(s,t)]^2 + 4|m_2(s,t)|^2}\,] \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(s,t) &= (\delta_1 - s)[(\delta_2 - s)^2 + (\beta - t)^2] + (\delta_2 - s)|\gamma|^2 \ , \\ m_2(s,t) &= 2i\gamma[(\delta_1 - s)(\beta - t) + (\delta_2 - s)(\alpha - t)] \ , \\ m_3(s,t) &= (\delta_2 - s)[(\delta_1 - s)^2 + (\alpha - t)^2] + (\delta_1 - s)|\gamma|^2 \ , \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K = \frac{1}{2}[&||S(A)\mathbf{u}_1||_2^2 + ||S(A)\mathbf{u}_2||_2^2 - \alpha^2 - \beta^2 - 2|\gamma|^2 \\ &+ \sqrt{(||S(A)\mathbf{u}_1||_2^2 - ||S(A)\mathbf{u}_2||_2^2 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2 + 4|(S(A)\mathbf{u}_2)^*(S(A)\mathbf{u}_1) + i\gamma(\alpha + \beta)|^2}\ ] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (11),

$$\det W = (\delta_1 - s)(\delta_2 - s) - (\alpha - t)(\beta - t) + |\gamma|^2 + i((\delta_1 - s)(\beta - t) + (\delta_2 - s)(\alpha - t))$$

which gives

$$|\det W|^2 = ((\delta_1 - s)(\delta_2 - s) - (\alpha - t)(\beta - t) + |\gamma|^2)^2 + ((\delta_1 - s)(\beta - t) + (\delta_2 - s)(\alpha - t))^2 \tag{14}$$

Furthermore

$$adj(W^*) = adj\begin{pmatrix}\delta_1 - s - i(\alpha - t) & \bar{\gamma} \\ -\gamma & \delta_2 - s - i(\beta - t)\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\delta_2 - s - i(\beta - t) & -\bar{\gamma} \\ \gamma & \delta_1 - s - i(\alpha - t)\end{pmatrix}$$

and a straightforward calculation gives

$$M = \begin{pmatrix}m_1(s,t) & \bar{m}_2(s,t) \\ m_2(s,t) & m_3(s,t)\end{pmatrix} = H(\det W adj(W^*)) = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\det W} adj(W) + \det W adj(W^*)) =$$
$$\begin{pmatrix}(\delta_1 - s)[(\delta_2 - s)^2 + (\beta - t)^2] + (\delta_2 - s)|\gamma|^2 & -2i\bar{\gamma}[(\delta_1 - s)(\beta - t) + (\delta_2 - s)(\alpha - t)] \\ 2i\gamma[(\delta_1 - s)(\beta - t) + (\delta_2 - s)(\alpha - t)] & (\delta_2 - s)[(\delta_1 - s)^2 + (\alpha - t)^2] + (\delta_1 - s)|\gamma|^2\end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

The largest eigenvalue of M is

$$\lambda_{max}(M) = \frac{1}{2}(m_1 + m_3 + \sqrt{(m_1 - m_3)^2 + 4|m_2|^2}) \quad (16)$$

To calculate $k = (\sigma_1(V))^2 = \lambda_{max}(V^*V)$, denote the two columns of V by $\mathbf{v}_1$ and $\mathbf{v}_2$. Then V^*V is the 2×2 Gram matrix

$$V^*V = \begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{v}_1^*\mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_1^*\mathbf{v}_2 \\ \mathbf{v}_2^*\mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2^*\mathbf{v}_2\end{pmatrix}$$

whose largest eigenvalue is

$$K = \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{v}_1^*\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2^*\mathbf{v}_2 + \sqrt{(\mathbf{v}_1^*\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2^*\mathbf{v}_2)^2 + 4|\mathbf{v}_2^*\mathbf{v}_1|^2}\ ]$$

For the columns $\mathbf{y}_j$ of Y we have

$$\mathbf{y}_j^*\mathbf{y}_k = (US(A)\mathbf{u}_j)^*(US(A)\mathbf{u}_k) = (S(A)\mathbf{u}_j)^*U^*U(S(A)\mathbf{u}_k) = (S(A)\mathbf{u}_j)^*(S(A)\mathbf{u}_k)\ .$$

Hence

$$\alpha^2 + |\gamma|^2 + \mathbf{v}_1^*\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{y}_1^*\mathbf{y}_1 = ||S(A)\mathbf{u}_1||_2^2\ ,\ |\gamma|^2 + \beta^2 + \mathbf{v}_2^*\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{y}_2^*\mathbf{y}_2 = ||S(A)\mathbf{u}_2||_2^2\ ,$$

and

$$-i\gamma(\alpha + \beta) + \mathbf{v}_2^*\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{y}_2^*\mathbf{y}_1 = (S(A)\mathbf{u}_2)^*(S(A)\mathbf{u}_1)\ .$$

and these relations give

$$K = \frac{1}{2}[||S(A)\mathbf{u}_1||_2^2 + ||S(A)\mathbf{u}_2||_2^2 - \alpha^2 - \beta^2 - 2|\gamma|^2$$
$$+\sqrt{(||S(A)\mathbf{u}_1||_2^2 - ||S(A)\mathbf{u}_2||_2^2 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2 + 4|(S(A)\mathbf{u}_2)^*(S(A)\mathbf{u}_1) + i\gamma(\alpha + \beta)|^2}\ ] \quad (17)$$

Equations (14) , (15) , (16) and (17) together with Theorem (2) now proves the result for $k = 2$.

□

3 Analyzing the curves

We begin by stating some rather obvious properties of the curves Γ_k.

Theorem 4. *For the curves* Γ_k *we have:*

$\Gamma_k(\tilde{U}^*A\tilde{U}) = \Gamma_k(A)$ *if* $\tilde{U}$ *is unitary*

$\Gamma_k(A^T) = \Gamma_k(A)$

$\Gamma_k(A^*) = \overline{\Gamma_k(A)}$

$\Gamma_k(rA + b) = r\Gamma_k(A) + b$ *if* $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $b \in \mathbb{C}$

Proof. Let $\tilde{A} = \tilde{U}^*A\tilde{U}$. Using the notation of (3), (4), (5) and Theorem 2, $\Delta + Y = U^*AU = (\tilde{U}^*U)^*\tilde{A}(\tilde{U}^*U)$ so Δ and Y are invariant, and since $\sigma(A) = \sigma(\tilde{A})$ the first statement follows. $\sigma(A^T) = \sigma(A)$ and A^T will transform W and V into W^T and $-V^*$ which leave Theorem 2 invariant. $\sigma(A^*) = \overline{\sigma(A)}$ and A^* will transform V into $-V$ but $A^* - \lambda I$ gives a $W_{A^*}(\lambda) = \Delta_k - Y_k - \lambda I = (\Delta_k + Y_k - \bar{\lambda}I)^* = (W_A(\bar{\lambda}))^*$ so Theorem 2 becomes a statement for $\bar{\lambda}$ if $\lambda \in \sigma(A^*)$. $A + b$ leaves V unchanged and replaces $-\lambda I$ by $(b - \lambda)I$ in W. Finally, for $r > 0$, $\Gamma_k(rA) = \{(s + it)/r \in \Gamma_k(A)\} = r\Gamma_k(A)$ because both sides of Theorem 2 then scale as r^{2k+1}. □

For $k = 1$ these results were proven in [5].

Next we give some illustrations of Theorem 3. In Figure 2 we have plotted the curve Γ_2 defined by having equality in Theorem 3 for some random 5×5 complex matrices with elements that have real and imaginary parts between -1 and 1, and compare it with the cubic curve Γ_1 of [1]. It is usually the case that the new curve is a strict improvement, i.e., all points in $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying the inequality for $k = 2$ also satisfy the inequality also for $k = 1$. However, we shall see below that this is not always the case.



Figure 2: The curves Γ_2 (solid) given by Theorem (3) for some complex 5×5 matrices. The dashed curves are the cubic curves Γ_1. The vertical lines are $s = \delta_3$, $s = \delta_2$ and $s = \delta_1$. The eigenvalues are marked by small boxes.

In Figure 3 we have used real random 5×5 matrices with elements between -1 and 1 to show some more possible configurations. From simulations it is clear that for random matrices the plots of Figure 3 appear with decreasing probability. The first plots, with less interesting topology, appear more frequently but we shall see how one can construct matrices with all types



Figure 3: The curves Γ_2 (solid) and Γ_1 (dashed) for some real 5×5 matrices. The vertical lines are $s=\delta_3$, $s=\delta_2$ and $s=\delta_1$. The eigenvalues are marked by small boxes.

of curves that we see in the figure. In these pictures the $k=2$ case is a strict improvenment on the $k=1$ case.

It is clear that on these images $s=\delta_3$ is an asymptote to the curve. In general one can prove for arbitrary k :

Theorem 5. *The curve* Γ_k *:* $|\det W|^2(s-\delta_{k+1}) = (\sigma_1(V))^2\lambda_{max}[H(\det W adj(W^*))]$ *has* $s=\delta_{k+1}$ *as an asymptote, and there are no points on the curve with* $s<\delta_{k+1}$.

Proof. For $s<\delta_k$, $H(W)=diag(\delta_1-s,\ldots,\delta_k-s)$ is positive definite which implies that $H(W^{-1})$ is postive definite [4]. Then $|\det W|>0$ and $\lambda_{max}[H(\det W adj(W^*))]>0$ and $s<\delta_{k+1}$ is not possible. Furthermore, if $V\neq 0$ we see that $|\det W|\to\infty$ is needed as $s\to\delta_{k+1}^+$ and this implies $|t|\to\infty$. If $V=0$ then $s=\delta_{k+1}$ is the curve except for isolated points given by $\det W=0$ (and A is unitarily similar to a direct sum of Δ_k+Y_k and some $B\in M_{n-k}(\mathbb{C})$). □

For $k=1$ this result was proven in [1].

Let $k=2$ again. For $s<\delta_2$, $H(W^{-1})$ is postive definite which means that both eigenvalues of $H(\det W adj(W^*))$ are positive. The curve with λ_{min} has minus the square root term in (16) and must be located to the left of the curve with λ_{max} but still to the right of $s=\delta_3$ and with $s=\delta_3$ as an asymptote. With $K=(\sigma_1(V))^2$, $M=H(\det W adj(W^*))$ and $2\lambda_{max}(M)=$

$TrM+\sqrt{(TrM)^2-4\det M}$, the equation $|\det W|^2(s-\delta_3) = K\lambda_{max}[H(\det W adj(W^*))]$ implies that $2|\det W|^2(s-\delta_3) - K\,TrM = K\sqrt{(TrM)^2-4\det M}$. Squaring implies

$$4|\det W|^4(s-\delta_3)^2 - 4KTrM|\det W|^2(s-\delta_3) = -4K^2\det M \tag{18}$$

which is a polynomial curve for s and t. The curve $\Gamma_k : |\det W|^2(s-\delta_{k+1}) = (\sigma_1(V))^2\lambda_{max}(M)$ is part of the curve (18) and the curve $|\det W|^2(s-\delta_{k+1}) = (\sigma_1(V))^2\lambda_{min}(M)$ with $2\lambda_{min}(M) = TrM - \sqrt{(TrM)^2-4\det M}$, is another component of (18), they connect at points where $(TrM)^2 = 4\det M$ and at infinity.

We illustrate (18) in Figure 4 for $k=2$ for two random complex matrices, for which the two components are disjoint, and for the matrix

$$\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1.01 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1.01 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{19}$$

The component with $\lambda_{min}(M)$ is the dashed curve. We see that $s=\delta_3$ is an asymptote for the two components. For $\hat{A}$ we see that the two components meet at some points and that the curves of $\lambda_{max}(M)$ and $\lambda_{min}(M)$ are non-smooth there. We shall comment more on this in the next section.



Figure 4: The curves Γ_2 given by Theorem 3 (solid) and $\lambda_{min}(M)$ (dashed) for two complex 5×5 matrices, and for the matrix $\hat{A}$ (19). The vertical lines are $s=\delta_3$, $s=\delta_2$ and $s=\delta_1$. The eigenvalues are marked by small boxes.

Although in Figures 2 and 3 the curves Γ_2 give a strict improvement compared with the cubic curves Γ_1, it is not uncommon that for some region in the band $\delta_2 < s < \delta_1$, the cubic curve is better.

In Figure 5 we show three cases, the first two a complex and a real 5×5 matrix, and the third for a real matrix $\tilde{A}$ constructed below, where in some region the cubic curve Γ_1 gives more restrictions on the spectrum than Γ_2. We see that we can even have a closed loop on Γ_1 without having a closed loop on Γ_2.

A general analysis of this situation is complex but we can state some sufficient conditions for the cubic curve to be more restrictive. Assume for simplicity that A is real. Then the situation will occur if for some s the value of $|t|$ is smaller, or maybe non-existent, on Γ_1 than on Γ_2, since $s=\delta_2$ is an asymptote for Γ_1.



Figure 5: The curves given Γ_2 (solid) and Γ_1 (dashed) for a complex 5×5 matrix, a real 5×5 matrix, and the matrix $\tilde{A}$ (24). Γ_1 is here in some parts of the complex plane more restricting for the spectrum than Γ_2. The vertical lines are $s = \delta_3$, $s = \delta_2$ and $s = \delta_1$. The eigenvalues are marked by small boxes.

With A real, $\alpha = 0$ and $K_1 = ||S(A)\mathbf{u}_1||_2^2$, the cubic curve becomes

$$[(s-\delta_1)^2 + t^2](s-\delta_2) = K_1(\delta_1 - s) \tag{20}$$

which gives $t^2 = K_1(\delta_1 - s)/(s-\delta_2) - (s-\delta_1)^2$. For $k = 2$ the corresponding analysis is harder, we therefore check the values of t^2 for $2s = \delta_1 + \delta_2$. On Γ_1 we get $t_1^2 = K_1 - (\delta_1 - \delta_2)^2/4$.

For $k = 2$, with $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $2s = \delta_1 + \delta_2$, Theorem 3 gives the curve

$$[(\frac{\delta_1 - \delta_2}{2})^2 + t^2 - \gamma^2]^2(\frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2} - \delta_3) = \frac{K_2}{2}|(\delta_1 - \delta_2)[(\frac{\delta_1 - \delta_2}{2})^2 + t^2 - \gamma^2]| \tag{21}$$

where

$$2K_2 = ||S(A)\mathbf{u}_1||^2 + ||S(A)\mathbf{u}_2||^2 - 2\gamma^2 + \sqrt{(||S(A)\mathbf{u}_1||^2 - ||S(A)\mathbf{u}_2||^2)^2 + 4((S(A)\mathbf{u}_2)^* S(A)\mathbf{u}_1)^2} \tag{22}$$

This implies that on Γ_2, $t_2^2 = \gamma^2 - (\frac{\delta_1-\delta_2}{2})^2$ or $t_2^2 = \gamma^2 - (\frac{\delta_1-\delta_2}{2})^2 \pm K_2(\delta_1 - \delta_2)/(\delta_1 + \delta_2 - 2\delta_3)$. Since $K_1 \geq \gamma^2$ we have $t_1^2 \geq \gamma^2 - (\frac{\delta_1-\delta_2}{2})^2 \geq \gamma^2 - (\frac{\delta_1-\delta_2}{2})^2 - K_2(\delta_1 - \delta_2)/(\delta_1 + \delta_2 - 2\delta_3)$. The questions is when $t_1^2 < \gamma^2 - (\frac{\delta_1-\delta_2}{2})^2 + K_2(\delta_1 - \delta_2)/(\delta_1 + \delta_2 - 2\delta_3)$ is possible. With $t_1^2 = K_1 - (\frac{\delta_1-\delta_2}{2})^2$ we need to have $K_1 < \gamma^2 + K_2(\delta_1 - \delta_2)/(\delta_1 + \delta_2 - 2\delta_3)$.

Expressed in terms of the vectors $\mathbf{v}_1$ and $\mathbf{v}_2$ of (11) this inequality is

$$||\mathbf{v}_1||^2 < K_2 \cdot \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_2}{\delta_1 + \delta_2 - 2\delta_3} \; ; \; 2K_2 = ||\mathbf{v}_1||^2 + ||\mathbf{v}_2||^2 + \sqrt{(||\mathbf{v}_1||^2 - ||\mathbf{v}_2||^2)^2 + 4(\mathbf{v}_2^* \mathbf{v}_1)^2} \tag{23}$$

If $\mathbf{v}_1$ and $\mathbf{v}_2$ are orthogonal, $K_2 = max\{||\mathbf{v}_1||^2, ||\mathbf{v}_2||^2\}$, if they are parallel, $K_2 = ||\mathbf{v}_1||^2 + ||\mathbf{v}_2||^2$. Also, $0 < (\delta_1 - \delta_2)/(\delta_1 + \delta_2 - 2\delta_3) = (\delta_1 - \delta_2)/(\delta_1 - \delta_2 + 2(\delta_2 - \delta_3)) < 1$ and closer to 1 if $\delta_2 - \delta_3$ is small compared to $\delta_1 - \delta_2$. Thus matrices with $||\mathbf{v}_1||$ small compared to $||\mathbf{v}_2||$ and $\delta_2 - \delta_3$ is small compared to $\delta_1 - \delta_2$ will have the desired property.

The 3×3 matrix

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{24}$$

has $\delta_1 = 3$, $\delta_2 = 1$, $\delta_3 = 0$, $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^1$, $||\mathbf{v}_1|| = 2$, $||\mathbf{v}_2|| = 4$, $\mathbf{v}_2^*\mathbf{v}_1 = 8$, $K_1 = 4$, and $K_2 = 20$. We get $t_1^2 = 3 < 9 = t_2^2$ and we see the curves in the last plot of Figure 5.

In these cases, one may of course combine Γ_1 and Γ_2 and use the intersection of the two regions to minimize the region for the spectrum. We also emphasize that the condition (23) is sufficient but not necessary for the two curves to cross since we assumed A real and $2s = \delta_1 - \delta_2$.

4 Topologically interesting examples

To analyze the curves Γ_k for larger values of k is in general hard, the complicated dependence of $\lambda_{max}[H(\det W adj(W^*))]$ on s and t being a main cause. Each element of $adj(W^*)$ is a $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ minor of W^*. If we assume that we have a real matrix A such that W is diagonal, then the curves are easier to analyze and we can already in this case see interesting types of behaviour of Γ_k that can occur. We may assume that $U = I$ so that A is already on the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_k & -V^* \\ V & \tilde{A}_k \end{pmatrix} , \tag{25}$$

where $\Delta_k = diag(\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_k) \in M_k(\mathbb{R})$. Then $W = \Delta_k - \lambda I_k$ is diagonal with

$$\det W = \prod_{r=1}^{k} (\delta_r - \lambda) \tag{26}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \det W adj(W^*) &= \det W adj[diag(\delta_1 - \bar{\lambda}, \ldots, \delta_k - \bar{\lambda})] \\ &= \det W diag(\prod_{r=2}^{k}(\delta_r - \bar{\lambda}), \ldots, \prod_{r=1}^{k-1}(\delta_r - \bar{\lambda})) \\ &= diag((\delta_1 - \lambda)\prod_{r=2}^{k}|\delta_r - \lambda|^2, \ldots, (\delta_k - \lambda)\prod_{r=1}^{k-1}|\delta_r - \lambda|^2). \end{aligned}$$

With $\lambda = s + it$, the Hermitian part is

$$H(\det W adj(W^*)) = diag((\delta_1 - s)\prod_{r=2}^{k}|\delta_r - \lambda|^2, \ldots, (\delta_k - s)\prod_{r=1}^{k-1}|\delta_r - \lambda|^2) \tag{27}$$

The eigenvalues are the diagonal elements and we need to determine the largest eigenvalue. Suppose that $\delta_j \geq \delta_i$ and compare the corresponding diagonal elements of $H(\det W adj(W^*))$. We have $(\delta_j - s)\prod_{r\neq j}|\delta_r - \lambda|^2 \geq (\delta_i - s)\prod_{r\neq i}|\delta_r - \lambda|^2$ if $(\delta_j - s)|\delta_i - \lambda|^2 \geq (\delta_i - s)|\delta_j - \lambda|^2$. This means $(\delta_j - s)((\delta_i - s)^2 + t^2) \geq (\delta_i - s)((\delta_j - s)^2 + t^2)$, or, equivalently $(\delta_j - s)(\delta_i - s) \leq t^2$. Writing it as

$$(s - \frac{\delta_j + \delta_i}{2})^2 - t^2 \leq (\frac{\delta_j - \delta_i}{2})^2 \tag{28}$$

we see that equality is attained at the hyperbola with centrepoint at $(\frac{\delta_j+\delta_i}{2})^2$ on the real axis, passing through the real axis at δ_j and δ_i, and with asymptotes of slope ± 1.

Suppose we are in the region $\delta_{k+1} \le s \le \delta_1$. No components bending in the same direction (left or right) of all the hyperbolas for all pairs j, i will cross each other since they have the same asymptotic slope. Of all hyperbolas formed from δ_1 and δ_j, $j = 2, \ldots, k$, it is the left component of the one formed from δ_1 and δ_2 which gives the left boundary of the region where there first diagonal element gives λ_{max}. Next, to the left of this curve, the second diagonal element will be λ_{max} until we reach the left component of the hyperbola formed from δ_2 and δ_3 and so on. We illustrate the situation in Figure 6. In the first plot we show all six hyperbolas in a case with $k = 3$, $\delta_1 = 5$, $\delta_2 = 3.5$, $\delta_3 = 1$ and $\delta_4 = 0$. The hyperbolas related to (δ_1, δ_2), (δ_1, δ_3) and (δ_1, δ_4) are solid, the ones of (δ_2, δ_3) and (δ_2, δ_4) dashed, and the one of (δ_3, δ_4) dotted. In the second plot, we have $k = 4$, $\delta_1 = 5$, $\delta_2 = 3.5$, $\delta_3 = 3$, $\delta_4 = 1$ and $\delta_5 = 0$, and we show those components of all 10 hyperbolas that separate four regions of different diagonal elements being λ_{max} for $\delta_5 \le s \le \delta_1$.



Figure 6: All six hyperbolas for a $k = 3$ case (left) and the three region separating hyperbola components for a $k = 4$ case (right).

With $\sigma_1(V) = \varepsilon$, the curve Γ_k of Theorem (2) in each region becomes

$$\prod_{r=1}^{k} |\delta_r - \lambda|^2 (s - \delta_{k+1}) = \varepsilon^2 (\delta_j - s) \prod_{r=1, r\neq j}^{k} |\delta_r - \lambda|^2 \ , \tag{29}$$

which is $|\delta_j - \lambda|^2 (s - \delta_{k+1}) = \varepsilon^2 (\delta_j - s)$ or

$$[(\delta_j - s)^2 + t^2](s - \delta_{k+1}) = \varepsilon^2 (\delta_j - s) \ , \tag{30}$$

which is a curve of degree 3. Thus, our entire curve Γ_k consists of different cubic curves which are connected in a continuous but maybe non-smooth way at the hyperbolas that separates the regions. Note that no details of V or $\tilde{A}_k$ are needed to construct the curve.

Putting $t = 0$ in (30), we see that $s = \delta_j$ or

$$s = s_\pm = \frac{\delta_j + \delta_{k+1}}{2} \pm \sqrt{(\frac{\delta_j - \delta_{k+1}}{2})^2 - \varepsilon^2} \tag{31}$$

For $1 \le j \le k-1$, the value s_+ is between δ_{j+1} and δ_j if $s_+ \ge \delta_{j+1}$ which happens if $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_j$, where

$$\varepsilon_j = \sqrt{(\delta_{j+1} - \delta_{k+1})(\delta_j - \delta_{j+1})}\ . \tag{32}$$

Note that $\varepsilon_j \le ((\delta_{j+1} - \delta_{k+1}) + (\delta_j - \delta_{j+1}))/2 = (\delta_j - \delta_{k+1})/2$ so $s_\pm$ exist (real) for $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_j$. Then there will be a closed loop passing through $s = s_+$ and $s = \delta_j$. If also $s_- \ge \delta_{j+1}$ (which could only happen if $\delta_{j+1} \le (\delta_j + \delta_{k+1})/2$), then s_- will be on the unbounded component of Γ_k (and any loops to the left have already merged with the unbounded component).

In the region most to the left, where $s \le \delta_k$, for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_k = (\delta_k - \delta_{k+1})/2$ we have $s_\pm > \delta_{k+1}$ and there is a loop through δ_k and s_+ while the unbounded component of Γ_k passes through $s = s_-$. For $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_k$, the loop connects to the unbounded component and for $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_k$ there is only one component which is the unbounded and it passes through $s = \delta_k$.

In general, because of the different hyperbolas, the unbounded component of Γ_k passes through several regions as $|t|$ grows and $s \to \delta_{k+1}^+$, so it may have points where it is not smooth.

An example of this is provided by the matrix $\hat{A}$ (19) in the previous section. In the last plot of Figure 4 we see the non-smoothness of Γ_2 which occur at points of the hyperbola $(s-3/2)^2+t^2 = 1/4$. We have $\varepsilon = 1.01$ and the four crossings where $\lambda_{min} = \lambda_{max}$ are readily calculated to have coordinates $s = s_{1,2} = (3 \pm \sqrt{9 - 8\varepsilon^2})/4 \approx 0.75 \pm 0.229$, each with two corresponding t-values $t = \pm\sqrt{s_{1,2}^2 - 3s_{1,2} + 2}$. For $s \in\ [0, 0.521] \cup [0.979, 2]$ the diagonal element $(2-s)[(1-s)^2+t^2]$ of $H(\det W adj(W^*))$ is its largest eigenvalue, and for $s \in\ [0.521, 0.979]$, $(1-s)[(2-s)^2+t^2]$ is the largest, and these non-smooth changes takes place at the crossing with the hyperbola.

With the above relations between $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k+1}$ and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k$, one can construct matrices that give curves Γ_k with desired topologies.

As a first example, let $k = 2$, $\delta_3 = 0$ and $\delta_2 = 1$. Then $\varepsilon_2 = 1/2$ and $\varepsilon_1 = \sqrt{\delta_1 - 1}$. If $\delta_1 = 5/4$, then $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$. Define two matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1.36 & 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -\varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & -0.25 \\ \varepsilon/2 & 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ B = \begin{pmatrix} 1.16 & 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -\varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & -0.25 \\ \varepsilon/2 & 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{33}$$

of the above given type. Both have $\sigma_1(V) = \varepsilon$. For A, $\varepsilon_1 = 0.6$ and $\varepsilon_2 = 0.5$, for B, $\varepsilon_1 = 0.4$ and $\varepsilon_2 = 0.5$. For small ε, both matrices will have curves Γ_2 with two closed loops enclosing one eigenvalue each. For A, when ε increases, first at $\varepsilon = 0.5$ the two loops merge into one that encloses two eigenvalues, and at $\varepsilon = 0.6$ this loop merges with the unbounded component. For B, when ε increases, first at $\varepsilon = 0.4$ the left loop merges with the unbounded component, and then at $\varepsilon = 0.5$ the remaining loop merges with the unbounded component. In Figure 7 we plot first Γ_2 for A for $\varepsilon = 0.45, 0.55, 0.65$ and below Γ_2 for B for $\varepsilon = 0.35, 0.45, 0.55$. In the last plot of A and in the last two plots of B, one sees clearly the non-smooth points on the curves which are located at the crossings with the left-bending component of the hyperbola that passes through $s = 1$, $t = 0$.

For larger values of k, one can also choose values of $\delta_1 \dots \delta_{k+1}$ such that the mergers of neighboring loops of Γ_k come in any desired order. If we want all mergers to occur for the same ε we can also obtain this. By (18) this will happen if, given δ_{k+1} and δ_k, we choose iteratively for decreasing j, $\delta_{j-1} = \delta_j + (\delta_k - \delta_{k+1})^2/(4(\delta_j - \delta_{k+1}))$, $j = k, \dots, 2$. As an example, we take



Figure 7: The curves Γ_2 for the matrices A (on top) and B (33) for increasing values of ε. The eigenvalues are marked by small boxes.

$k = 4$, $\delta_5 = 0$ and $\delta_4 = 1$. Then we get $\delta_3 = 5/4$, $\delta_2 = 29/20$ and $\delta_5 = 941/580$, and the simultaneous merger is at $\varepsilon = 1/2$. Define a matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 941/580 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 29/20 & 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5/4 & 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\varepsilon/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon/\sqrt{2} & 0 & \varepsilon/\sqrt{2} & 0 & -0.25 \\ \varepsilon/\sqrt{2} & 0 & \varepsilon/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{34}$$

which, since $V \in M_{2,4}(\mathbb{R})$ has $\sigma_1(V) = \varepsilon$, has the desired properties. In Figure 8 we plot the curve Γ_4 of C for $\varepsilon = 0.45, 0.5, 0.55$. In the last plot we see the non-smooth points on the curve located at the crossings with the hyperbolas.

Finally we demonstrate an interesting possibility. Let $k = 2$ and

$$F(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -\varepsilon_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_2 & 5 & -\varepsilon_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{35}$$

We start with $F(2.48, 1.0)$ which has a pair of complex conjugated eigenvalues, each inside a loop. If we decrease ε_2, the two loops will merge and form a new loop enclosing two eigenvalues.



Figure 8: The curves Γ_4 for the matrix C (34) for increasing values of ε. The eigenvalues are marked by small boxes.

If instead we increase ε_1, each loop will connect to the unbounded component first. One can balance the parameters and obtain a situation where the loops approach each other and the unbounded component in such a way that an inner loop is formed that encloses a domain where no eigenvalue can be. This is illustrated in Figure 9 where the matrices used are $F(2.48, 1.0)$, $F(2.48, 0.66)$, $F(2.52, 1.0)$ and $F(2.52, 0.66)$. We also plot the same curves together with the curves for λ_{min} (dashed in the plots), the two curves together form a smooth algebraic curve but break up at non-smooth points for each component. We observe that the curve of λ_{min} may be non-connected and have a closed loop, compare with Figure 4.



Figure 9: The curves Γ_2 for the matrices $F(2.48, 1.0)$, $F(2.48, 0.66)$, $F(2.52, 1.0)$ and $F(2.52, 0.66)$ (first row). The eigenvalues are marked by small boxes. For $F(2.52, 0.66)$, no eigenvalue can be inside the closed loop. On the second row of plots, the curves of λ_{min} are added (dashed).

5 Envelopes for the spectrum

In the same way as for the lines used to bound the numerical range $F(A)$ [2, 3] or the cubic curves Γ_1 by Adam, Psarrakos and Tsatsomeros [1, 5, 6], we can apply our theorem to $e^{i\theta}A$ and obtain a curve that bounds $\sigma(e^{i\theta}A)$. Rotating that curve by $e^{-i\theta}$, we get a curve that bounds $\sigma(A)$. Doing this for all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi[$ we get an infinite intersection of regions that contains $\sigma(A)$, and whose boundary is the envelope of such curves.

For a given matrix A, let $E_k(e^{i\theta}A)$ be all points $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy

$$|\det W|^2(Re(\lambda) - \delta_{k+1}) \leq (\sigma_1(V))^2 \lambda_{max}[H(\det W adj(W^*))] \ ,$$

where $W = W(\theta)$, $V = V(\theta)$ and $\delta_{k+1} = \delta_{k+1}(\theta)$ are constructed from $e^{i\theta}A$ as in section 2.

We denote the region that is the intersection over all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi[$ by $\mathcal{E}_k(A)$:

Definition 2. $\mathcal{E}_k(A) = \bigcap_{\theta \in [0,2\pi[} E_k(e^{i\theta}A)$

The region $\mathcal{E}_1(A)$ was studied in detail in [5, 6] and here we generalize some of their results.

Theorem 6. *For the region $\mathcal{E}_k$ we have:*
$\mathcal{E}_k(\tilde{U}^* A \tilde{U}) = \mathcal{E}_k(A)$ *if* $\tilde{U}$ *is unitary*
$\mathcal{E}_k(A^T) = \mathcal{E}_k(A)$
$\mathcal{E}_k(A^*) = \overline{\mathcal{E}_k(A)}$
$\mathcal{E}_k(aA + b) = a\mathcal{E}_k(A) + b$ *if* $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$

Proof. All statements follow directly from Theorem 4. $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is allowed since we use all rotations $e^{i\theta}A$ to define $\mathcal{E}_k(A)$. □

We now give several illustrations of $\mathcal{E}_2(A)$. All plots are made using 120 curves, separated by 3 degrees, that is, $\theta = 2\pi m/120, m = 0, \ldots, 119$. In Figure 1 in the introduction we showed the regions $F(A)$, $\mathcal{E}_1(A)$ and $\mathcal{E}_2(A)$ for the Toeplitz matrix (1). This matrix was used as an example in [5] to illustrate $\mathcal{E}_1(A)$.

On the top row of Figure 10 we show $F(A_1)$, $\mathcal{E}_1(A_1)$ and $\mathcal{E}_2(A_1)$ for the matrix

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 14+19i & -4-i & -55-13i & -32+13i \\ 27+2i & 14-25i & 64 & 72 \\ 54+i & 47-3i & 14+44i & -32-42i \\ 76 & 73 & 4-2i & -11+24i \end{pmatrix} \tag{36}$$

that was used as an example in [6]. Here we observe that $\mathcal{E}_1(A_1)$ isolates one and $\mathcal{E}_2(A_1)$ two of the eigenvalues.

On the middle row of Figure 10 are the regions for the 11×11 Frank matrix A_2 used in [5] to illustrate $\mathcal{E}_1(A_2)$. Frank matrices have elements $A_{ij} = 0$ if $j \leq i-2$, $A_{ij} = n+1-i$ if $j = i-1$, and $A_{ij} = n+1-j$ if $j \geq i$. They have determinant 1 but are ill-conditioned.

On the bottom row of Figure 10 are the regions for a real random 5×5 matrix A_3 with elements between -1 and 1. This matrix has 5 real eigenvalues, $\mathcal{E}_1(A_3)$ isolates two of them and $\mathcal{E}_2(A_3)$ three.



Figure 10: The numerical range $F(A)$, and the regions $\mathcal{E}_1(A)$ and $\mathcal{E}_2(A)$ of: (top) the matrix A_1 given by (36), (middle) the 11×11 Frank matrix A_2, and (bottom) a real random 5×5 matrix A_3 (lower). The eigenvalues are marked by small boxes.

Finally, we can observe from simulations that $\mathcal{E}_1(A)$ can exclude regions of the complex plane not excluded by $\mathcal{E}_2(A)$, $\mathcal{E}_2(A) \not\subset \mathcal{E}_1(A)$, so the single curve property shown in Figure 5 can be noticed also for the envelopes. In Figure 11 we show this for a complex random 5×5 matrix A_4 with elements with real and imaginary parts between -1 and 1. The cubic envelope $\mathcal{E}_1(A_4)$ successfully isolates one of the eigenvalues but not $\mathcal{E}_2(A_4)$. In the fourth plot we show how the intersection $\mathcal{E}_1(A_4) \cap \mathcal{E}_2(A_4)$ of both regions determines a new smaller region for the spectrum.



Figure 11: The numerical range $F(A_4)$, the regions $\mathcal{E}_1(A_4)$ and $\mathcal{E}_2(A_4)$, and the intersection $\mathcal{E}_1(A_4) \cap \mathcal{E}_2(A_4)$, of a complex random 5×5 matrix A_4. The eigenvalues of A_4 are marked by small boxes.

6 Open problems and discussion

Adam, Psarrakos and Tsatsomeros [1, 5, 6] have proven several properties of Γ_1 and $\mathcal{E}_1$ that need to be studied for $k \geq 2$.

They prove that when there is a closed loop of Γ_1, then there is precisely one simple eigenvalue inside. We have seen that we can construct matrices where Γ_k has a closed loop enclosing k eigenvalues, or, more generally for any $1 \leq j \leq k$, j closed loops enclosing $n_1, \ldots, n_j$ eigenvalues respectively, for any positive $n_1, \ldots, n_j$ with $j \leq n_1 + \ldots n_j \leq k$. It would be desirable to have a theorem giving a more precise description of possible topologies of Γ_k.

The important result that $\mathcal{E}_1(A)$ is always compact is proved in [6]. It is likely that this should hold also for $\mathcal{E}_k(A)$ for $k \geq 2$.

We have seen that Γ_2 is not always more restricting for the spectrum than Γ_1 everywhere in the complex plane, and that $\mathcal{E}_2(A)$ is not necessarily a subset of $\mathcal{E}_1(A)$. These facts need to be further studied and relations between different Γ_k or different $\mathcal{E}_k(A)$ explored.

The level of improvement for increasing k, i.e., the reduction in size of $\mathcal{E}_k(A)$ which depends on the separation of δ_1, δ_2, $\delta_3, \ldots$ might be possible to quantify. For large complex random matrices with most eigenvalues within some bound, the improvement will be smaller than for certain types of more structured matrices.

The study of normal matrices or normal eigenvalues [5, 6] should be generalized, and relations to p-rank numerical range [6] $\Lambda_p(A)$ studied. In [6] it is shown that $\Lambda_2(A) \subset \mathcal{E}_1(A)$.

The computational complexity increases fast with increasing k, especially for the envelope $\mathcal{E}_k(A)$. It might be possible to find less restrictive inequalities for the spectrum, but which produce curves and regions that are easier to analyze and construct numerically.

In general, it would be desirable to analytically study more properties of $\Gamma_k(A)$ and $\mathcal{E}_k(A)$ for $k \geq 2$.

References

[1] M Adam and M J Tsatsomeros "An eigenvalue inequality and spectrum localization for complex matrices" *Electr. J. Lin. Alg.* **15** (2006) 239–250

[2] R A Horn and C R Johnson *Topics in Matrix Analysis* Cambridge University Press (Cambridge), 1991

[3] C R Johnson "Numerical determination of the field of values of a general complex matrix" *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **15** (1978) 595–602

[4] R Mathias "Matrices with positive definite Hermitian part: Inequalities and linear systems" *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **13** (1992) 640–654

[5] P J Psarrakos and M J Tsatsomeros "An envelope for the spectrum of a matrix" *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **10** (2012) 292–302

[6] P J Psarrakos and M J Tsatsomeros "On the geometry of the envelope of a matrix" *Appl. Math. Comp.* **244** (2014) 132–141